

Vann, Bradley

0714

40501568

53



Superfund

0001

2/19/15

From: Vann, Bradley
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2015 5:18 PM
To: Field, Jeff; Peterson, Mary
Subject: West Lake Landfill - RA's Weekly Update/Status Report

Jeff/Mary,

Here are the enclosed speaking points for the RA's weekly West Lake update, note this is all from past week (trimmed out historical information as promised last week, and copies are in your inbox):

1. Additional Characterization Area 1 and 2 Work Plan – Responses to EPA and MDNR comments on the draft work plan were received on Friday, 8/14/15 (7 pm). Brad forwarded to all reviewers on Saturday, and requested response by COB Tuesday, 8/18/15. He also responded by email to EMSI (with copy to MDNR) approving the site preparation work (vegetation clearing and drilling pad construction), begin preparation for tentatively lining up drilling resources and based on comment responses and conversation with MDNR expect to approve their work plan the week of August 17. On Tuesday, Brad has since followed up with team reviewers, USGS and MDNR to ensure completion of this task and is expecting to approve the work plan this week once the discharge issue is resolved with state. He has also discussed issues and resources for mobilization and oversight with Paul Rosasco (EMSI) on 8/18/15, and USGS on 8/19/15.
2. Technical Meeting with EMSI/Arcadis and EPA – On 8/13/15 parties technical representatives (Paul Rosasco and Craig Devine) met at the RO with Brad, Jeff and Mary and discussed all remaining technical concerns that impact site scheduling with for the next two years. Brad captured all key items discussed and forwarded this information to the team Saturday, 8/15/15. Discussion was productive in making sure parties understood key work packages and provided several options for EPA's consideration with regards scope of various items (Phase 1D, Area 1 & 2 work plan, comprehensive report/RI Addendum, etc.). Outcome is expected to ensure a final schedule is developed that meets EPA's needs, fully supports our pending decisions and is realistic and assist with AOC modification. PRPs will also have their risk assessors look into possible calculation of risk-based PRGs as another partial excavation option to possibly refocusing this effort.
3. Cotter's Area 1 & 2 proposal – Draft work plan addendum was received on 7/31/15, under review by the project team and pending further discussion. Document has been reviewed by the team and response approving this work with clarification comments are also pending by this week (essentially reinforces our prior letter).
4. Pyrolysis Testing – Work continues. Tom has been coordinating with Chuck, TetraTech and SWRI over the past week. He met with Brad on 8/17/15 to discuss status of draft DQOs, which are progressing and is anticipating SWRI derivable this week but may also have to break this task down to keep moving forward. He has also provided the team with further feedback by email on 8/19/15. Tom also had a call with USACE HP to better understand his perspective on radon emanation testing. In addition, Tom is reviewing the Radon Flux reviewers feedback (Isolation Barrier) to ensure all comment responses provided by EMSI have fully addressed EPA's concerns.
5. AR/Public Repository – Work continues: Andrew has coordinated with B&V to visit the repository August 26-27. B&V has also received the AR index and contacted the repository librarian.
6. RI/FS AOC Modification – Brad met with Amer on 8/17/15 to outline the pending work packages necessary for the SFS, placed relevant documents on the shared drive for him to access. Project team also met on 8/18/15 and reviewed possible modifications to the RI/FS AOC and existing site air monitoring QAPP, as presented by Stephanie, Chuck and Mike Jay. They also followed up with MDNR on 8/19/15 to brief them and gain their feedback. This action warrants further SF discussion and no action has been decided.

7. OSC Field Oversight – Brad followed up with Kevin Larson on 8/18/15 who will be coordinating field coverage from the removal program, and will ensure he has a copy of the Area 1 and 2 field schedule once approved (also to cover preparation work pending start possibly next week).
8. OU1/OU2 Boundary discussion – Team met on Monday, 8/17/15 to discuss overlap of OU2 “Bridgton Muffin Top” with OU1 as determined tentatively from the Phase 1D RIM characterization. Analytical data will confirm field screens (validated coming in late October/early November) and will shape future discussions with State.
9. OSRTI Check In – On 8/18/15, team had a teleconference with Doug Amon, Amy Lagare and Dave Kappelman regarding the progress on the partial excavation scope, three options that EPA has directed the parties to evaluate, scheduling concerns and considerations for other options (risk-based PRGs that support current and future commercial/industrial use model). Brad later met with Chuck and Mike B. and is coordinating a meeting next week to evaluate PRG calculations. Once determined this would lead to a conversation with the state and parties (as mentioned above) if viable to consider.
10. CAG Technical Committee – Brad is coordinating with Ben and TASC on the next TC meeting (likely week of August 23), and plans to follow up with DHSS for regular update if in town.

Thanks,

Bradley Vann - Remedial Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Superfund Division
Missouri/Kansas Remedial Branch
11201 Renner Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219
Phone: 913-551-7611
Fax: 913-551-9611
Cell: 816-714-0331

8/19/15

Update

- Field work Mon/2 week of 24th
- Technical meeting w/ 9mst, AREROIS on schedule of work to Renedy
- Cobbers proposal still in-house
- Comments on memos (1 & 2) out this week if not the 19th

Ryukob's Testing:

- Draft TO in house for T Tech
- As of the 17th document to be delivered by 18th. Not here on 19th, yet.
- B+V going to Budgeton library to begin working on fixing the AR.
- St. Louis Co. Health may be conducting some type of data collection on respiratory related data (diagnosed)??
- ATSDR change change on Health Consent + flanked up the Hg chain for concurrence. If not amenable to Hqs/R7 senior management it will go to AA level.

potential for the inclusion of prenatal data vs. medical diagnosed by MD.